# Assignment Reporting an adverse drug reaction

#### Aim

The aim of this assignment is to make students competent to make a proper adverse drug reaction report.

This assignments can be used for training PV Key aspect 3 (Recognizing ADR), 5 (Reporting ADR).

#### Source

The Netherlands Pharmacovigilance Centre Lareb, WHO Collaborating Centre for Pharmacovigilance in Education and Patient Reporting

#### Learning outcomes

The student ...

- .. has knowledge about ADR classification, risk factors, confounding factors, and epidemiology
- ... can recognize an ADR in in practice
- ... can fill in a reporting form with good quality of documentation
- ... develops responsibility for sharing (reporting) ADRs
- ... understands how spontaneous reporting can lead to new information about adverse drug reactions.
- ... develops an open mind for adverse outcomes of drug use in pharmacotherapy

### Description:

Students gather information of and report a possible adverse drug reaction that occurred in a patient during internships or clerkships, to the national or regional pharmacovigilance centre.

### Examples of students reports and checklist for assessment of quality on documentation

## Checklist for quality on documentation - student reporting

(Lareb, the Netherlands)

Score 1 if information is available and clear, score 0 if requested information is lacking in the report. If more than 1 ADR is described in the report, score the best documented ADR.

| 1. | <b>Timing</b> (score 1 if latency is clear, based on date calculation and/or narrative) |  |
|----|---|--|
|    | Drug start date present, and  |  |
|    | ADR start date present  |  |
|    | OR  |  |
|    | Given latency in narrative  |  |
|    | IF BOTH   |  |
|    | Given latency corresponds to calculated latency (if discrepancy: score 0)               |  |
| 2. | Drug (score 1 if all 4 are present)   |  |
|    | Drug name   |  |
|    | Drug strength   |  |
|    | Dose  |  |
|    | Indication  |  |
| 3. | ADR   |  |
|    | <ul> <li>Score 1 if description makes clear what happened;</li> </ul>                   |  |
|    | • Score 0 if description is vague, like 'allergic reaction' without description of      |  |
|    | symptoms or localisation; or 'liver function abnormal' without test results             |  |
| 4. | Outcome (if both present, score 1)  |  |
|    | <ul> <li>Action taken (dose reduced, dose not changed, drug withdrawn)</li> </ul>       |  |
|    | Outcome (recovered, recovering, recovered with sequel, not recovered)                   |  |
| 5. | Additional information (score 1 if at least 1 is present)                               |  |
|    | Concomitant drugs   |  |
|    | Medical history   |  |
|    | Past drug therapy   |  |
|    | Recurrence  |  |
|    | Test information  |  |
|    |   |  |
|    | Total score   |  |
|    |   |  |
|    | Interpretation of the total score:  |  |
|    | Well documented > 4   |  |
|    | Moderately documented > 2 but <4  |  |
|    | Poorly documented <2  |  |

#### Example of a student report

| Adverse drug reactions   |   |
|--|---|
| DR   |   |
| Description of the ADR   | exfoliative oesophagitis by dabigatran use  |
| Start date of the ADR  | 06-2014   |
| How long did the patient use the drug before the ADR first occured?        | 4 weeks   |
| What is the outcome of this ADR is the patient?                            | recovering  |
| nformation on ADR's:   |   |
| Has the ADR been treated?  | Yes, by switching from dabigatran to phenprocoumon and addition of high dose PPI.   |
| Did the patient use the drug causing this ADR before?                      | No  |
| Are there other circumstances that could have cause or aggravate this ADR? | Yes, use of risedronate: but, this has a much smaller<br>chance of causing GI-disorders in comparison to other<br>bisphosphonates. Patient used risedronate for over 5 year<br>with adequate water intake and in up right position. |
| Did the ADR lead to one of the following situations?                       | Yes, hospitalisation:<br>Cause: melena, Duration admission 3 days, Treatment:<br>gastroscopy, protonpompperfusor, bloodtransfusion (3EH   |
| Extra information  | It is rather unknown that dabigatran should be<br>administered like bisphosphonates, with lots of water and i<br>up right position.   |
| B Drug   |   |
| rug [1]  |   |
| Suspect drug   | DABIGATRAN ETEXILAAT CAPSULE 110MG  |
| Startdate drug   | 06-2014   |
| Dose   | 2 times a day 1 piece , extra info: 2dd110mg  |
| Administration route   | Oral  |
| Indication   | Atrial fibrillation, recently switched from phenprocoumon because of recurrent epistaxis (nose bleed)   |
| Has the use of the drug been adjustment after the occurrence of the ADR    | withdrawn   |
| Withdrawn at date  | 08-2014   |
| Possible interaction with other drugs?                                     | No  |
| Does the patient use ohter drugs (concomitant medication) ?                | Yes   |
| C PATIËNT  |   |
| Gender   | Female  |
| Birth date   | 1-1-1927  |
| Weight   | 80 kg   |
| Length   | 162 cm  |
| Medical history  | AF Osteoporotic collapsed vertebrae; Gonarthrosis, with knee prosthesis (left); Hypercholesterolaemia   |
| D Reporter   |   |
| Profession   | Junior doctor (student, clerkship)  |
| _ Attached files   |   |

Bisoprolol 2,5mg 1dd 1, Furosemide 40mg 1dd 1, Digoxin 0,0625mg 1dd 1, Valsartan 160mg 2dd 1, Levocetirizine fo 5mg 1dd 1, Piroxicam 20mg 1dd 1, Bisacodyl msr 5mg 4dd 1, Divisun 800 ie 1dd 1, vitamine D Psylliumvezel 3,25g 1dd 1, isphagula seeds Rabeprazol msr 10mg 1-2dd 1, Risedronate 35mg 1x/week on Monday Paracetamol/codein 500/10mg 1-3dd 1, dabigatran 110mg 2dd 1, Duratears eyedr 15ml 4-6dd 1 drops ODS, Fusidic acid creme 20mg/g 3dd, Lidocaine vaselinecreme 3% 4dd. **Score Example 1** 

| 1. | <b>Timing</b> (score 1 if latency is clear, based on date calculation and/or narrative)                                     | 1      |
|----|---|--------|
| 1. | <ul> <li>Drug start date present, and (6-2014)</li> </ul>   | 1      |
|    | <ul> <li>ADR start date present (6-2014)</li> <li>ADR start date present (6-2014)</li> </ul>                                |        |
|    | OR  |        |
|    |   |        |
|    | <ul> <li>Given latency in narrative (4 weeks)</li> <li>IF BOTH</li> </ul>   |        |
|    |   |        |
|    | <ul> <li>Given latency corresponds to calculated latency (if discrepancy: score 0)<br/>(plausible in time frame)</li> </ul> |        |
| 2. | Drug (score 1 if all 4 are present)   | 1      |
| Ζ. |   | 1<br>1 |
|    | Drug name (Dabigatran etexilate)  |        |
|    | Drug strength (110 mg)  |        |
|    | Dose (2 times a day 110 mg)   |        |
|    | Indication (atrial fibrillation)  |        |
| 3. | ADR   | 1      |
|    | • Score 1 if description makes clear what happened; (exfoliative oesophagitis,  |        |
|    | patient had gastroscopy)  |        |
|    | • Score 0 if description is vague, like 'allergic reaction' without description of  |        |
|    | symptoms or localisation; or 'liver function abnormal' without test results   |        |
| 4. | Outcome (if both present, score 1)  | 1      |
|    | • Action taken (dose reduced, dose not changed, drug withdrawn) (withdrawn  |        |
|    | and treated)  |        |
|    | <ul> <li>Outcome (recovered, recovering, recovered with sequel, not recovered)</li> </ul>                                   |        |
|    | (recovering)  |        |
| 5. | Additional information (score 1 if at least 1 is present)   | 1      |
|    | <ul> <li>Concomitant drugs (present)</li> </ul>   |        |
|    | Medical history (present)   |        |
|    | Past drug therapy   |        |
|    | Recurrence  |        |
|    | Test information  |        |
|    |   | 5      |
|    | Total score   |        |
|    |   |        |
|    | Interpretation of the total score:  | well   |
|    | Well documented > 4   |        |
|    | Moderately documented > 2 but <4  |        |
|    | Poorly documented <2  |        |

#### 

| Has the ADR been treated?   | Yes, with treatment for acute coronary syndrome, in 2015 with medicines and in 2016 with a stent and catheterisation.   |
|---|---|
| Did the patient use the suspect drug use before?  | Yes   |
| . Did the ADR occur before, at that time of use?  | Yes, also myocardial infarction   |
| Are there any other causes or circumstances that might have caused this ADR or aggravated this ADR? | Yes. Possibly a genetic condition, since the father and sister had a myocardial infarction at a young age based on atherosclerosis.   |
| Did this ADR lead to one of the following situations?   | Yes: Life threatening situation and Hospital admission<br>for: Myocardial infarction/ACS, Duration of admission: 4<br>days, Treatment: catheterisation and medicines  |
| Extra information   | She twice had a myocardial infarction, in 2015 and in 2016<br>while using rizatriptan. Now, the drug rizatriptan is<br>withdrawn because of the contraindication of coronary<br>diseases for use of triptans. |
| B DRUG  |   |
| DRUG [1]  |   |
| . Suspect drug that causes the ADR  | RIZATRIPTAN   |
| . Start date of the drug  | 16-03-2015  |
| Dose of the drug  | 1 times 1 tablet a day, as necessary when migrain occurs.<br>Max 2 tablets in 24 hours  |
| . Administration route  | Oral  |
| . Indication  | migrain   |
| . What action has been taken with the drug in response of the ADR?                                  | withdrawn   |
| . Date of withdrawal  | 06-2016   |
| . Possible drug-drug interaction?   | Unknown   |
| . Does the patient use other drugs?   | Yes   |
| Concomitant medication [2]  |   |
| Name of the drug  | METOPROLOL TABLET 50MG  |
| Dose  | 1 time 1 tablet daily, extra info: as necessary   |
| Startdate   | 05-2011   |
| . Stopdate  | 09-2016   |
| Concomitant medication [3]  |   |
| . Name of the drug  | accenocoumarol  |
| . Dose  | , extra info: dose according to Duth thrombosis services  |
| . Startdate   | 2002  |
| . Stopdate  | 2016  |
| C PATIENT INFORMATION   |   |
| . Gender  | female  |
| . Birthdate   | 1-1-1966  |
| . Relevant medical history  | Pulmonary embolism at young age   |
| D REPORTER INFORMATION  |   |
|   | Junior doctor in general practice (student, clerkship)  |

# Score Example 2

| 1. | Timing (score 1 if latency is clear, based on date calculation and/or narrative) | 0 |
|----|--|---|
|    | • Drug start date present, and (3-2015; unknown when the first event was)        |   |
|    | ADR start date present (3-2016)  |   |
|    | OR   |   |
|    | • Given latency in narrative (1 month); unknown when the last dose was           |   |
|    | taken)   |   |
|    | IF BOTH  |   |
|    | • Given latency corresponds to calculated latency (if discrepancy: score 0)      |   |
| 2. | Drug (score 1 if all 4 are present)  | 0 |

|    | Drug name (rizatriptan)   |           |
|----|---|-----------|
|    | Drug strength (unknown)   |           |
|    | • Dose (as necessary, unknown when and how much the patient took the                      |           |
|    | drug)   |           |
|    | Indication (migraine)   |           |
| 3. | ADR   | 1         |
|    | • Score 1 if description makes clear what happened; (acute coronary                       |           |
|    | syndrome or myocardial infarction based on coronary dissection not on                     |           |
|    | atherosclerosis)  |           |
|    | • Score 0 if description is vague, like 'allergic reaction' without description of        |           |
|    | symptoms or localisation; or 'liver function abnormal' without test results               |           |
| 4. | Outcome (if both present, score 1)  | 0         |
|    | <ul> <li>Action taken (dose reduced, dose not changed, drug withdrawn)</li> </ul>         |           |
|    | (withdrawn)   |           |
|    | <ul> <li>Outcome (recovered, recovering, recovered with sequel, not recovered)</li> </ul> |           |
|    | (unknown)   |           |
| 5. | Additional information (score 1 if at least 1 is present)                                 | 1         |
|    | Concomitant drugs (present)   |           |
|    | <ul> <li>Medical history (present)</li> </ul>   |           |
|    | <ul> <li>Past drug therapy (present)</li> </ul>   |           |
|    | Recurrence  |           |
|    | Test information  |           |
|    | Test information  |           |
|    |   | 2         |
|    | Total score   |           |
|    | Interpretation of the total score:  | moderativ |
|    | Interpretation of the total score:  | moderatly |
|    | Well documented > 4   |           |
|    | Moderately documented > 2 but <4  |           |
|    | Poorly documented <2  |           |